

jsn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUIT NO.1084 OF 1993

Vikram Pratap Gohil and Ors. ...Plaintiffs

Versus

Ranjeet Premjibhai Gohil (Since deceased) ...Defendants

WITH

TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO.21 OF 1995

R. P. Gohil ...Plaintiffs

Versus

Kanhaiyalal Premjibhai Gohil and Ors. ...Defendants

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO.18124 OF 2025

IN

SUIT NO.21 OF 1995

Purnima R. Gohil ...Applicant /
Plaintiff 1A

Versus

Mrs. Smita Manoj Patki and Ors. ...Defendants

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO.16936 OF 2025

IN

SUIT NO.41 OF 1993

Purnima R. Gohil ...Applicant /
Plaintiff 2A

Versus

Indira Hemant Gohil and Ors. ...Defendants

None for the Plaintiff in TS No.21 of 1995 and TS No.41 of 1993.

Ms. R.C. Nichani with Mr. Akshay Pawar for Plaintiffs in S No.1084 of 1993 and Defendant Nos.2A to 2C in TS / 21/1995 and for Defendant No.2 in TS/41/1993.

Mr. Hemant Ghadigaonkar for Defenant Nos.4a and 4b.

Mr. Bhupesh Dhumatkar with Mr. Nandkishor Supal and Ms. Trupti Gage i/b. Divya Shah and Associates for Defendant Nos.12(a) and 12(b) in S/1084 1993, for Defendant Nos.4A and 4B in TS 41/1993 and for Defendant Nos.1A and 1B in TS/21/1995.

CORAM : R.I. CHAGLA J.
DATE : 24TH JULY, 2025.

ORDER :

1. These Interim Applications had been listed on 3rd July, 2025, when this Court by Order noted that the Applicants in these Interim Applications were not represented and accordingly the Interim Applications were stood over.

2. Today also none appears for the Applicants.

3. By these Interim Applications, the Applicants are seeking appointment of a handwriting expert to examine the signature and initials of the deceased on the Will and Codicil and comparing the same with her signature and initials on various other document papers and proceedings in all three matters and to file his Affidavit with his report in this Court.

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the Defendant Nos.4A and 4B in Testamentary Suit No.41 of 1993 and Defendant Nos.1A and 1B in Testamentary Suit No.21 of 1995 has brought to the notice of this Court that, the evidence has been closed in the said Suits. He has submitted that upon closure of evidence, the present Applications have been filed seeking reopening of the evidence by introducing a handwriting expert. He has accordingly objected to the relief sought for in the Interim Application.

5. Considering that none appeared for the Applicants on the previous date i.e. 3rd July, 2025 and even today none appears for Applicants when the Interim Applications have been listed by this Court, the Interim Applications are dismissed for default.

6. Place the above matters on 14th August, 2025 at 3.00 p.m. for marking of the documents which were produced during the recording of evidence of the Plaintiffs and Defendants witnesses.

[R.I. CHAGLA J.]