

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.795 OF 2021

Shital Manoharrao Warbhe : Petitioners
versus
The State of Maharashtra and ors : Respondents.

Mr. Pralhad Paranjape i/by Mr. Manish Kelkar for the Petitioners.
Mr. Kedar Dighe, AGP for the Respondent/State.
Mr. Gaurav Sharma i/by Mr. Ashutosh Kulkarni for Respondent No.4.
Mr. Bhuvan Singh i/by Ms. Tanaya Patankar for Respondent No.5.
Mr. Nikhil Patil i/by Mr. P M Jadhav for Respondent No.6.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A.S. DOCTOR, JJ.**

DATED : 02nd August 2022

P.C.

1 The grievance of the Petitioner is that the Petitioner is not awarded marks for teaching and extracurricular activities. According to the Petitioner, the marks are not allotted for the teaching experience on the ground that the Petitioner was appointed on a temporary basis. The learned counsel for the Petitioner relies upon the circular issued by the State of Maharashtra dated 03/07/2004 to contend that the experience of working full time on temporary/daily wages/consolidated salary basis is also required to be considered. Whereas the stand of the Respondents-College and University appears to be that in view of the University Grant Commission Act, 2018, the said experience cannot be counted. However, the said provisions are not placed on record.

2 It is further contended by the learned counsel for the Respondents – College and University that whatever activities the Petitioner had undertaken are co-curricular activities and not extra-curricular activities. We do not find any distinction between two place on record. The learned counsel for Respondents – College and University seeks time. Place the matter on 23/08/2022.

[A.S. DOCTOR, J]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J]