

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 3924 OF 2025
IN
COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION PETITION NO.136 OF 2022**

Shri Saroj Sadan Co Operative Housing Society Ltd ...Applicant

Versus

Vini Developers ...Respondent

Mr. Janhavee Joshi *i/b. Haresh Lulia, for the Applicant.*

Ms. Pooja Yadav *i/b. Komal Punjabi, For Respondent No.2-MCGM.*

Mr. Gaurav Patankar, *for Respondent No.1.*

**CORAM : SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.
DATE : MAY 30, 2025
(VACATION COURT)**

PC :

1. This is yet another example of the Learned Court Receiver not acting in accordance with an order passed by the Court asking for clarification as to whether the Court Receiver referred to in the order is the Court Receiver of this Court, or some other Court.

2. I have already had occasion to issue directions to the Registry to investigate such an approach, and place a report before me.

3. The Court Receiver (indeed the Court Receiver of this very Court since there are doubts of this nature being raised) is directed to forthwith act on the order dated May 7, 2025. The Registry is directed to examine this matter as well, while placing a report on such objections being raised by the Court Receiver.

4. It is inexplicable that there can be any doubt about which Court Receiver is intended by a Court when it refers to “the Learned Court Receiver” unless there is any pre-existing Court Receiver appointed in the proceedings before the matter reached this Court. It is hoped that litigants do not have to contend with such doubts in future.

5. All actions required to be taken pursuant to this order shall be taken upon receipt of a downloaded copy as available on this Court’s website.

[SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.]