

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION****EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1485/2022****ALONGWITH****INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 8861/2026****IN****EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 1485/2022****KULWANT SINGH MOKHA****..... APPLICANT****VERSUS****GURUCHARAN SINGH MOKHA & ORS.****..... RESPONDENTS**

Adv. Bhavesh Parmar a/w. Adv. Rajesh Sahani i/b. M/s. Devmani Shukla for the Applicant.

Adv. Akshay B. Udeshi for the Respondent No.1.

Adv.Rahul Gaikwad a/w. Adv. Komal Singh i/b. M/s.Gravitas Legal for the Respondent Nos. 7a to 7d.

Senior Counsel Mr.Prashant Chavan a/w. Adv. Meet Vora, Adv. Komal Jadhav, Adv. Bhakti Gandhi i/b. M/s.Navdeep Vora & Associates for the Respondent No.8.

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.**DATE : 4 MAY, 2026****P.C. :-**

1) Mr.Chavan, learned Senior Advocate has handed over a note which contains the charges to be payable to the MIDC. Roughly those charges are around Rs.1,13,00,000/- . The note also shows that there are sub-lettee charges as it appears that two sub-lettees viz.

M/s.Bharat Engineering and M/s.Aman Engineering are operating on the subject plot without permission of the MIDC. Hence, there are charges to be payable for creating unauthorized subletting. The said amount is around Rs.72 lacs.

2) Mr.Parmar, learned counsel appearing for the decree holder submits that the said sub-lettees mentioned by the MIDC namely M/s.Bharat Engineering and M/s.Aman Engineering are two firms of the son of the respondent no.1 - Mr.Gurucharan Singh Mokha.

3) However, Mr.Udeshi, learned counsel appearing for the respondent no.1 submits that as far as M/s. Bharat Engineering is concerned, the same is a proprietary firm of the son of the respondent no.1 and as far as M/s.Aman Engineering is concerned, he has no instructions whether it is being operated by any family member of respondent no.1. He submits that today the grandson of the respondent no.1 is present and he is not aware as to who operates M/s.Aman Engineering. He submits that M/s.Aman Engineering is not being operated by any family member of the respondent no.1. However, he submits that M/s,Aman Engineering is the sister-concern of M/s. Bharat Engineering.

4) The respondent nos. 1 and 5 are represented today through lawyers. However, none appears for the respondent nos. 2 to

6. Hence, considering the submissions made today and on the last occasion, respondent nos. 1 to 6 are directed to remain present on the next date of the hearing.

5) Stand over to **15 June, 2026**. Matter to come up on board under the caption 'For Directions'.

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)