

dgm

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (Lodging) NO.300 OF 2011

Shri Vijay govind Patankar & anr. Petitioners
vs
Smt. Sunanda T. Patankar & anr. Respondents

Mr. Mahindra C. Mahalkar for the petitioners.

Mr. C.N. Chavan with Mr. S. Amberkar for respondent no. 1.
Mr. Mehta for Respondent no.2.

CORAM: ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.

DATE : April 21, 2011

P.C.:

At the request of contesting Respondent no.1, stand over to 16.06.2011. The Respondents waive notices.

2 On application filed by Respondent no.1, the impugned order has been passed under the Administrators General Act, 1963. Except Section 55, there is no other provision pointed out to show that there is any statutory Appeal available to the Petitioner. The effect of this order is that the concerned Respondents may take physical possession

of the property. At this stage, by this impugned order, a notional possession has already been directed to be taken. Therefore, there is no question of any coercive steps based upon the impugned order.

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)