

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 2900 OF 2026

IN

SUIT NO. 28 OF 2026

**Girishkumar Shankerlal Daga** .. **Applicant/Plaintiff**  
**V/s.**  
**Seth Ramnath Daga HUF & Ors.** .. **Defendants**

Digitally signed  
by JYOTI  
PRAKASH  
PAWAR  
Date:  
2026.02.25  
19:45:25  
+0530

---

**Mr. Rohan Sawant**, Mr. Devesh Juvekar, Ms. Kavita Brid Chavan, Ms. Anjali Dhoot, Ms. Aradhan Bhansali, Ms. Shivangi Goel i/b Rajani Associates for Applicant/Plaintiff.

**Ms. Vidhya Mangavde** for Defendant/Respondent nos. 1 to 4.

**Mr. Punit Jain** i/b Dastur Kalambi & Associates for Defendant/Respondent nos. 7 and 8.

**Ms. Shriya Mehta** i/b Mr. Akshay Pawar for Defendant/Respondent nos. 5 and 6.

---

**CORAM : FARHAN P. DUBASH, J.**

**DATE : 24<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY 2026**

**P.C.:**

1. The Plaintiff and Defendants are members of Seth Ramnath Daga HUF. The captioned suit has been filed by the Plaintiff in respect of this HUF, in which the Plaintiff asserts that five groups, more particularly set out in the plaint, are each entitled to an undivided 1/5<sup>th</sup> share in the assets of the HUF.

2. The present Interim Application seeks various reliefs against

Defendant nos. 1 and 2, including that of disclosure and injunction.  
Defendant no. 2 is the Karta of the said HUF.

3. Mr. Sawant, learned Counsel who appears on behalf of the Plaintiff, invites my attention to an email dated 10<sup>th</sup> July 2025 addressed by Defendant no. 2, in which he states that he has decided to sell one of the properties of the HUF, being '*Bikaner Haveli*' and that he will thereafter take necessary steps to sell another property '*Mookambika*' situated at Chennai, and which also belongs to the HUF.

4. Mr. Sawant, further submits that this sale that is sought to be done by Defendant no. 2 in his capacity as the Karta of the HUF is being done without his client's consent. He states that insofar as the sale of the '*Bikaner Haveli*' property is concerned, his client has already approached the Courts in Bikaner and obtained a stay order in respect of the said property. Accordingly, he seeks urgent reliefs today *inter alia* preventing Defendant no. 2 from liquidating any further assets of the HUF.

5. Ms. Vidhya Mangavde, learned Counsel appears on behalf of the Defendant nos. 1 to 4. She states that Defendant no. 2 is 82 years old and accordingly requires some time to file a detailed Affidavit dealing with all the allegations and assertions made not only in the plaint but also in the present

Interim Application and accordingly she seeks four weeks time to put in her Reply. The other Defendants who are present in Court today, have made a similar request.

6. Considering this, time is granted to all the Defendants to put in their Replies to the present Interim Application. However, till then, parties are directed to maintain *status quo* as on today in respect of the assets of the Seth Ramnath Daga HUF.

7. All the Defendants who wish to put in their respective Replies to the present Interim Application shall do so by filing their Reply, on or before 24<sup>th</sup> March 2026.

8. The Plaintiff is permitted to put in his Rejoinder thereto, which shall be filed and served, on or before 7<sup>th</sup> April 2026.

9. Place the matter for further consideration on 15<sup>th</sup> April 2026.

**( FARHAN P. DUBASH, J. )**

Shubham Gadhavepatil