

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
SUIT NO.2256 OF 1998

Harinarayan G. Bajaj & Anr. ...Plaintiffs
Versus
Vijay Agarwal & Ors. ...Defendants

Mr. Vivek M. Sharma, Advocate, for the Plaintiffs.
Mr. Manoj Agre i/b. Mr. G. B. Kedia, Advocates, for the Defendant
Nos.1, 2, 4 and 5.

CORAM: MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J
DATED : 5th SEPTEMBER 2024

P. C.:

1. Perused a praecipe dated 4th September 2024 filed by the learned Advocate for the Plaintiffs. In the praecipe, it is stated that P. W. 4 was cross-examined on 12th August 2024. When the P.W. 4 was being cross-examined, the witness expressed his inability to give explanation in the English language, although he stated that he could read English and conversant with the said language. Therefore, learned Counsel appearing for the Defendants sought for an appointment of an Official Translator to be deputed for all future commissions recording cross-examination of P.W.4.

2. Accordingly, registry to take appropriate steps to appoint an Official Translator as and when cross-examination of P.W. 4 is conducted before the Commissioner. Registry is also requested to do the needful at the earliest as the matter is fixed for recording cross-examination today.

3. Registry to ensure that the Official Translator remains present whenever the cross-examination of P.W. 4 is scheduled before the Commissioner.

4. Learned Counsel appearing for the Plaintiffs and the Defendants state that necessary charges will be shared equally by the Plaintiffs and the Defendants.

5. The praecipe dated 4th September 2024 is disposed of accordingly.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]