

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**COMPANY APPEAL NO. 30 OF 2014**

**IN**

**COMPANY PETITION NO. 29 OF 2012**

Shri Rajiv Kant Laxman

.. Appellant

Vs.

Bobby Electronics Pvt. Ltd.

.. Respondent

Mr.Sarosh Bharucha a/w. Ms.Aditi Bhansali and Mr.Utkarsh Srivastava I/b Vashi and Vashi for appellant.

Ms.K.H. Rajani for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

**CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM, J.**

**DATE : 27<sup>TH</sup> JULY 2018**

**P.C.**

1 Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 4 have been served. Respondent no.4, however, is not present or represented today. Shri Bharucha for appellant states that appellant is filing an affidavit of one Bharat Dhukam affirmed on 27<sup>th</sup> July 2018 confirming service upon respondent nos.1, 2 and 4. Shri Bharucha states that respondent no.3 has not been found at the address that they have but respondent no.1 has accepted service on behalf of respondent no.3 as well. In the same breath, Shri Bharucha, in fairness, submits respondent no.3 is no more a director of the company.

2 The Registrar of Companies to provide details of respondent no.3- Madhuvi Kushdevsingh Rathore, when I say details, it only means address and telephone number of respondent no.3 so that petitioner can contact

respondent no.3, find out the address and effect service of the appeal. Shri Bharucha states that appellant's advocates will address a communication to the Registrar of Companies and deliver the communication by 30<sup>th</sup> July 2018. Since the matter is being stood over to 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2018, the office of Registrar of Companies to provide the details not later than 2<sup>nd</sup> August 2018.

3 The National Company Law Tribunal has sent all the papers pursuant to directions passed on 13<sup>th</sup> July 2018. The advocate for appellant to arrange all the papers in proper order and have them paginated.

4 Stand over to 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2018.

**(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)**