

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

SUIT NO. 466 OF 1991

Chittaranjan Damodardas Shah ...Plaintiff

Versus

Bombay Housing and Area Development
Board & anr.

...Defendants

Adv. Bendicta Lobo, i/b Katariay & Asso., for the Plaintiff.
Ms. Sayli Apte, i/b P. G. Lad, for the Defendants.

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATED : 3rd FEBRUARY, 2021

PC:-

1. Heard the learned Counsels for the parties.
2. The learned Commissioner has submitted report on 16th October, 2019, which indicates that the cross-examination of DW-1 was completed on 24th September, 2019.
3. The learned Counsels for the parties submit that the parties do not wish to lead any further evidence.
4. The suit is thus ripe for hearing and final disposal.
5. Parties and Advocates will coordinate with the Registry of this Court to re-arrange the record in proper fashion with running pagination and an index. Preferably a separate compilation, corresponding to that of the advocates, and on white paper should be prepared for use by the Court. If the

compilation is in multiple volumes, there should be running paging for the entire record. The volumes are to be serially labelled.

6. All documents marked in evidence or marked for identification must, in the recompiled record, show the appropriate Exhibit marking. This exhibit number marking is to be shown on the document itself as also in the running index.

7. List the matter on 4th March, 2021, for hearing and final disposal.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]