IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
SUIT NO.3469 OF 1992

Union of India through the Deputy
Salt Commissioner ...Plaintiff
Versus
M/s.Byramjee Jeejeebhoy Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. ...Defendants

Mr.S.R. Rajguru with Mr.A.R. Verma and Ms.Anamika Malhotra, Advocates for Plaintiff.
Mr.Kishore Jain with Ms.Priyal Chheda i/b. Ms.Shweta Jain and M.Sundar, Advocates for Defendant No.1.
Mr.Karl Shroff with Mr.Samarth Chowdhary i/b. M/s. Hariani & Co., Advocates for Defendant No.2.

CORAM : K. K. TATED, J.
DATE : SEPTEMBER 18, 2017

P.C.:

1. Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

2. Learned Counsel Mr.S.R. Rajguru for Union of India filed affidavit dated 18th September 2017. The same is taken on record.

3. Learned Counsel Mr.S.R. Rajguru for Union of India submits that he requires one week time to file affidavit of evidence of the concerned officer who is in charge as on today in the present matter.

4. Considering the submission made by the learned Counsel for Union of India, following order is passed:-

ORDER

(i) One week time is granted to the plaintiff to file affidavit of evidence of their concerned officer with copy to other side, failing

which they have to pay costs of Rs.10,000/- to the defendant no.1 and Rs.10,000/- to defendant no.2;

(ii) If affidavit of evidence is filed within one week in the Registry, the matter to appear on board on 6th October 2017 under caption 'for Direction'.

(K.K.TATED, J.)