

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 782 OF 2016

Sadanand Purshottam Hegishte ... Petitioner
Versus
Maharashtra Housing and Area
Development Authority and Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1357 OF 2015**

Soham Projects Pvt. Ltd. ... Petitioner
Versus
Maharashtra Housing and Area
Development Authority and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Girish Godbole and Mr. Abhishek Nikharge i/b Mr. Mehul Shah for the Petitioner in WP No. 1357/2015 and for respondent no. 3 in WP No. 782/2016.

Mr. Suresh Mail for Petitioner in WP No. 782/2016 and for respondent no. 11 in WP No. 1357/2015.

Mr. S.P. Thorat for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in WP No. 782/2016.

Mr. P.G. Lad @ Ms. Shreya Shah for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 in WP No. 1357/2015.

Ms. Meena Shah & Ms. Unnati Mishra i/b Mrs. Pandya and Co. for Respondent Nos. 9 in WP No. 1357/2015 for Respondent No. 4 in WP No. 782/2016.

Ms. Jyoti Chavan AGP for the State in WP No. 1357/2015.

**CORAM: R. D. DHANUKA AND
M.M.SATHAYE JJ.**

DATE : 12th DECEMBER 2022

PC. :-

1. Place the matter on board on 23rd January 2022 to enable this Court to fix up an early date for final hearing.

2. Mr. Lad, learned counsel for MHADA states that flat offered to the client of Mr. Godbole, learned counsel for respondent no. 3 in Writ Petition No. 782 of 2016, is not acceptable to the Petitioner in said Writ Petition and thus though client of Mr. Godbole has agreed to hand over possession of flat No. 903, admeasuring 338 area to MHADA, no purpose will be served. Learned counsel for the Petitioner in the said Writ Petition also states that his client is not ready to accept the possession of flat no. 903, admeasuring 338 sq ft. offered by respondent no. 3 and seeks possession of larger area. This issue will be considered by this Court at the time of final hearing.

[M.M.SATHAYE,J.]

[R. D. DHANUKA, J.]