

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 129 of 2012
ARB/CASE/ARB/SVC/R/124/2009**

The Shamrao Vithhal Co-op. Bank Ltd. Applicants
Vs.
Apeksha Enterprises & Ors. Opponents

Mr. Jayant Gaikwad, Advocate for Applicants (V.P. not filed).

Mr. Siddhartha Kaikini, Customer Service Officer of Applicant Bank
present in person.

None present for Opponents.

Before : Mrs. Shonali K. Dighe
Commissioner for Taking Accounts
Date : 4th November, 2025

CALLED FOR REMOVAL U/R. 498 OF H.C.O.S. RULES :

Sr.no.1

1. Today matter is listed under caption for Removal. Heard Advocate appearing on behalf of Applicants.

2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant Bank has instructed him to appear in the aforesaid matter and, therefore, he seeks time to file his Vakalatnama and to take a search of the record. It is further submitted that the earlier Advocate engaged by the Bank has neither returned the brief nor handed over any documents to the Legal

.... 2

Representative of the Bank. The Ld. Advocate further states that the Registry of this Hon'ble Court has not accepted his Vakalatnama on the ground that the earlier Advocate on record has not issued a No Objection for filing of the new Vakalatnama. He also submits that since the Disputant Bank has not provided him with the necessary papers and documents, additional time is required to enable him to obtain the same and to take appropriate steps in the matter.

3. It appears that the Warrant for Sale was already collected by the earlier Advocate for the purpose of getting the returnable date of the Warrant for Sale extended. However, till date, the same has not been submitted.

4. At the request of Advocate for Applicants, matter is adjourned to 8th December, 2025.

04.11.2025

Commissioner for Taking Accounts