

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY AND ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUIT NO. 670 OF 1987

UNION OF INDIA)...PLAINTIFF

V/s.

M/S. TEXTILE PROCESSORS (P) LTD.)
IN VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION)...DEFENDANT

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 4927 OF 2025
IN
SUIT NO. 670 OF 1987

Mr.A.S.Khandeparkar, Senior Advocate, a/w. Mr.Niranjan Shimpi,
Advocate for the Plaintiff.

Mr.Rubin Vakil a/w. Mr.Sahil Gandhi, Ms.Riddhi Shah, Ms.Khusboo
Chughani i/by Markand Gandhi & Co., Advocate for the Defendants no.
2(a) and 10.

Ms.Ravleen Sabharwal a/w. Ms.Smriti S. and Ms.Madhura Shah i/by
RS Justice Law Chambers, Advocate for the Respondent no.11 – SRA.

Mr.Aseem Naphade a/w. Ms.Riya Thakkar i/by Mr.Tushar Goradia,
Advocate for the Defendant no.12.

CORAM : ABHAY AHUJA, J.

DATE : 10th SEPTEMBER 2025

PC. :

1. Although this matter has been listed under the caption “for framing of issues”, when the matter is called out, surprisingly, at this

stage, Mr.Rubin Vakil, learned Counsel appearing for the Defendants no.8(c) and 10 raises a grievance that the writ of summons has not yet been served and that, therefore, no written statement could be filed and that, therefore, no issues can be framed at this stage until the said Defendants are served with the writ of summons along with a copy of the plaint.

2. Mr.Khandeparkar, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Plaintiff – Union of India, seeks some time to ascertain the status of the service to all the thirteen Defendants as today all the Defendants are not represented.

3. Mr.Khandeparkar also points out that there is an Interim Application No.4927 of 2025 on board seeking an injunction against the Slum Rehabilitation Authority from proceeding with the development work on the Suit land.

4. Ms.Ravleen Sabharwal, learned Counsel, appearing for the Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA) is aggrieved that a copy of the said Interim Application has not been served upon her clients.

5. Let Mr.Khandeparkar revert on the status of the service to the thirteen Defendants and if service of the writ of summons along with the plaint has not been effected, let the same be effected within a period of three weeks and an appropriate Affidavit of service be filed in this regard.

6. Let copy of the Interim Application be served on all the Respondents and an appropriate Affidavit of service in this regard be filed within a period of three weeks. Replies to the said Interim Application be filed within a period of three weeks on receipt, with copy to the other side. Rejoinder in two weeks thereafter with copy to the other side.

7. List on **12th November 2025**. Liberty to apply.

8. Remove from the caption “for framing of issues”.

(ABHAY AHUJA, J.)