

Shailaja

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1403 OF 2025
IN
SUIT NO.14 OF 2025**

Dadi Framroze Vatcha | **Applicant**

Vs.

Lohrasp D. Kalyaniwala | **Respondent**

.....

Mr. Cyrus Bharucha a/w Ms. Shivani Deshmukh i/b M.M. Mulla Associates, for Applicant/Plaintiff.

Ms. Nelly Mehta i/b NMA Legal, for Respondents No.1 to 3.

.....

CORAM : ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.

DATE : 24th MARCH, 2025.

P.C.

1. The matter is on board today for speaking to minutes of the order dated 17th March, 2025.

2. Ms. Nelly Mehta, learned Counsel appearing for the defendant Nos.1 to 3 submits that this Court had granted ad interim relief in terms of prayer clause (d) of the Interim Application. What is inadvertently reproduced in the order is prayer clauses (e) (iii) and (e) (iv) from the plaint. She submits that paragraph 4 be corrected by substituting prayer clause (d) of the Interim Application in place of prayer clauses (e) (iii) and e (iv).

3. Mr. Bharucha, learned Counsel appearing for applicant/plaintiff points out that in 3rd line of paragraph 2, after the word “deceased”, the word “have” to be added.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned Counsel for the parties, paragraph 4 of the order be corrected as under;

*“4. “ There shall also be ad-interim relief in terms of prayer clause (d) which reads thus;
“(d) That the Respondents/Defendant Nos. 6 to 8 be restrained by an Order of temporary injunction from permitting any transaction/operation in respect of the demat bank account nos. DP IN300360 and 70072034 (obtained from St Chart transaction statement) and safety deposit locker no.5-02525 respectively held in the name of the deceased except in accordance with the orders of the Hon’ble Court”.*

5. So also, paragraph 3 be corrected by adding the word “have” in third line after the word “deceased”.

6. The order dated 17th March, 2025 stands corrected accordingly. Rest of the order remains as it is.

[ARIF S. DOCTOR J.]