

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION***

WRIT PETITION (LODGING) NO.602 OF 2025

Suraj Satyapal Sharma ... Petitioner

Versus

Satyapal Santram Sharma & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Y. E. Mooman for the Petitioner

Mr. M. S. Bhardwaj for the Respondent No.1

Mr. Vishal Thadani, Addl. G.P a/w Mr. Atul Vanarse, A.G.P for
the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3-State

Mrs. Kalpana Gode, SDO, Eastern Suburban, is present

***CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE &
DR. NEELA GOKHALE, JJ.
TUESDAY, 18th MARCH 2025***

P.C :

1 Learned counsel for the petitioner has tendered a
compilation of documents. The same is taken on record. The
fact that the order dated 8th July 2024 which is at page 54 of the
said compilation, is the original order, is not disputed by the
learned A.G.P. Learned A.G.P also does not dispute the fact, that
the order which is at page 93 of the said compilation (also dated

8th July 2024) is not the correct order, though there are stamps of the authority and the authorities signature. Learned A.G.P states that the concerned Authority will take appropriate steps to lodge an FIR as against the persons who may be responsible for the forged order, since *prima facie*, the same discloses a cognizable offence. He states that the concerned authority will take steps for registration of an FIR with respect to the said forged order which is at page 93 of the petition.

2 At this stage, learned A.G.P states that since the matter is remitted back to the S.D.O, the S.D.O will pass appropriate orders within one week from the date of receipt of this order.

3 Considering the allegations made by the learned counsel for the respondent No.1 with respect to the conduct of the petitioner, we deem it appropriate to keep the matter on **20th March 2025**, so as to enable the petitioner to take steps

accordingly. To be listed on the Supplementary Board.

4 At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to take instructions with respect to the DV proceedings instituted by the petitioner's wife in Katra, Jammu, against the respondent No.1.

DR. NEELA GOKHALE, J.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.