

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
IN ITS COMMERCIAL DIVISION

CONTEMPT PETITION (L) NO. 344 OF 2025

Bhumika Rupani

...Petitioner

Versus

Jambopay Express Private Limited

...Respondent

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION (L) NO. 35130 OF 2024

IN

COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 360 OF 2024

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 1 OF 2025

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 9 OF 2025

WITH

COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 367 OF 2024

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 10 OF 2025

Mr. Ankit Lohia (Through VC) a/w Mr. Kunal Vaishnav, Vijeet Trivedi i/b Ganesh & Co. for the Petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Raut a/w Ms. Laura Demello, Ms. Priyanshi Jain for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

Respondent Nos. 2, 3 and 4 are present.

CORAM : ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.

DATE : 11th MARCH, 2026

P.C.

1. I have heard Mr. Lohia at length, who has invited my attention to the fact that though on 13th September, 2024, when the order was passed, the company

had an amount of Rs. 12 lakhs in its account, thereafter within less than a month, the company had received amounts to the tune of Rs. 1,44,00,000/- approximately and also thereafter made an allotment of shares by which further amounts were received by the company in question. However, there is some dispute to the exact quantum. What is relevant is the fact that, after the order was passed and the directions to deposit an amount of Rs. 21,00,000/- was given to Company. The Company had funds and yet chose not to comply with the order of which contempt is alleged, to comply with the amount of Rs. 1.65 crores, which is the aggregate amount in all the petitions that were filed. Thus, it is clear that the Company did have funds despite which the orders were not complied with.

2. It is also essential to note that at no point after the order was passed, any grievance made in respect thereof. The company has also allotted further shares without seeking any direction or clarification from the Court. Thus in my view the issue of contempt is writ large.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent has today, however, sought time to take instructions from his client if they are willing to purge the contempt and therefore I am granting him a period of one week.

4. Stand over to **17th March, 2026**.

5. It is clarified that on the next occasion, the Respondents shall remain present in the Court as they are today.

[ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.]