

**THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

SUIT NO. 1226 OF 1986

Dr. Ravindra Radhakrishna Trivedi & Ors. ... Plaintiffs.

V/s.

Shri Shakti Prints Pvt. Ltd., & Ors. ... Defendants.

Mr. Hiralal Thakkar a/with Mr. Rajesh Shah & G.V. Bhagwat
i/by M/s. Divekar & Co. for the Plaintiffs.

Mr. Deepak Chitnis i/by Deepak Chitnis Chiparikar & Co. for
the Defendants.

Mr. Mahtab Laiq Ahmed Shaikh, Aged 53, R/a. F. No.14,
Bilqees Apartment, Mahakali road, Andheri (E), Mumbai - 400
093, is present.

**CORAM : K. R. SHRIRAM, J.
DATE : 16th OCTOBER, 2015**

P.C. :

1 At the outset, the counsel for the plaintiffs states
that they will file a list of witnesses within one week from
today.

2 The plaintiff's first witness Mr. Mahtab Laiq Ahmed
Shaikh (PW-1) is present in court and is administered oath. On
oath PW-1, confirms having affirmed and sworn the affidavit

dated 20th April, 2012 and also identifies his signature. The same is taken on record and marked Exh. P-1.

3 Alongwith the affidavit Exh. P-1, the plaintiffs have filed a compilation of 90 documents. The serial numbers given below relate to the index filed along with the compilation of documents :

Sr. No. 1 : Exh. P-2.

“ “ 2 : Exh. P-3.

“ “ 3 : Exh. P-4.

“ “ 4 : Not to mark, as it is already marked as -
“P-2”

“ “ 5 : Exh. P-5.

“ “ 6 : Exh. P-6, subject to proof of truth of
contents.

“ “ 7 : Exh. P-7.

“ “ 8 : Exh. P-8.

“ “ 9 : Exh. P-9.

10 : Exh. P-10.

11 to 13 : Exh. P-11 to P-13 respectively.

“ “ 14 : Exh. P-14.

“ “ 15 : Exh. P-15.

“ “ 16 : Exh. P-16.

“ “ 17 : Exh. P-17.

18 & 19 : Exh. P-18 and P-19 respectively.

“ “ 20 : Exh. P-20.

“ “ 21 : Exh. P-21.

“ “ 22 : Exh. P-22.

“ “ 23 : Exh. P-23, subject to proof of truth of
contents.

“ “ 24 : Exh. P-24, subject to proof of truth of
contents.

“ “ 25 : Exh. P-25.

“ “ 26 : Exh. P-26.

27 & 28 : “X-1” & “X-2”, respectively for identification.

29 & 30 : Exh. P-27 and P-28, respectively.

“ “ 31 : Exh. P-29.

“ “ 32 : Exh. P-30, subject to proof of truth of
contents.

“ “ 33 : Exh. P-31.

34 to 64 : Exh. P-32 to P-62.

“ “ 65 : “X-3”, for identification.

“ “ 66 : “X-4”, for identification.

“ “ 67 : Exh. P-63.

“ “ 68 : “X-5”, for identification.

“ “ 69 : Exh. P-64.

“ “ 70 : “X-6”, for identification.

“ “ 71 : Exh. P-65.

72 to 73 : Exh. P-66 to P-67.

- “ “ 74 : “X-7”, for identification.
- “ “ 75 : Exh. P-68.
- “ “ 76 : “X-8”, for identification .
- “ “ 77 : “X-9”, for identification.
- “ “ 78 : Exh. P-69.
- “ “ 79 : Exh. P-70, subject to proof of truth of contents.
- “ “ 80 : Exh. P-71.
- “ “ 81 : Exh. P-72.
- “ “ 82 : Exh. P-73, subject to proof of truth of contents.
- “ “ 83 : Exh. P-74, subject to proof of truth of contents.
- “ “ 84 : Exh. P-75, subject to proof of truth of contents.
- “ “ 85 : Exh. P-76,subject to proof of truth of contents.
- “ “ 86 : Exh. P-77.
- “ “ 87 : Exh. P-78.
- “ “ 88 : Exh. P-79.
- “ “ 89 : Exh. P-80.
- “ “ 90 : Exh. P-81.

4 Ms. Soumya Srikrishna, an Advocate practicing in this court is appointed as Commissioner to record evidence in the matter. The fees, administrative expenses of the

Commissioner together with venue expenses, if any, to be shared equally between the parties i.e. 50% by the plaintiffs and 50% by defendants and the same will be costs in the suit.

5 Matter to come up in due course.

(K.R.SHRIRAM,J.)

.....