

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

SUIT NO.827 OF 1978

Mahila G.U.L. Papad & Co.)....Plaintiffs

V/s.

Pushpa Berry & Ors.)....Defendants

Mr.Amit Jamsandekar a/w Mr.Irvin D'souza I/by Sugandh Deshmukh
for plaintiffs.

None for defendants.

CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM,J

DATE : 5.7.2019

P.C.:-

1. Mr.Jamsandekar for plaintiffs states that on 18.6.2019 the Advocate who was on record was not aware about the status of the matter and hence paragraph-5 of the said order came to be passed. Mr.Jamsandekar states that in this matter the entire evidence is concluded and the suit was in fact listed for final hearing on 3.7.2014.

On 3.7.2014 the following order came to be passed :-

“1. I am informed that in these matters, certain Special Leave Petitions have been admitted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court as recently as 25th April 2014. Without an express clarification from the Hon'ble Supreme Court it is possible or proper for me to proceed with either the notice of motion or the suit. Liberty to the parties to approach the Hon'ble Supreme Court for such clarification as may be necessary.

2. In the meantime, list the group for directions on 22nd September 2014.”

2. Mr.Jamsandekar states that two applications have been made to the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India for clarification but those applications have not been heard.

3. Defendants are absent. Therefore, stand over to 19.7.2019 for directions. The advocate on record for defendants shall remain present in Court on the next date. Plaintiff's advocate to give notice to defendants' advocate. I also find that the first page of the cause list is missing. Plaintiffs' advocate is directed to reconstruct the plaint before the next date.

(K.R.SHRIRAM,J)