

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION (ST) NO. 41200 OF 2025**

Arjun Dattatraya Chavan & Anr. ... Petitioners  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

\*\*\*\*

Ms. Shraddha Pawar i/b Mr. Vilas Tapkir, Advocates for the Petitioners.  
Ms. Kavita Salunke, Addl. G.P. for the State.

\*\*\*\*

**CORAM : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.**  
**DATE : 26<sup>th</sup> DECEMBER, 2025**  
**(VACATION COURT)**

**P.C. :**

1. This Petition was circulated in view of the urgency mentioned in the praecipe dated 26<sup>th</sup> December, 2025.
2. Heard Ms. Shraddha Pawar, learned Advocate for the Petitioners. She prays that a direction be issued to the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to consider the representation dated 26.03.2025 filed by the Petitioners and pending disposal of the representation for an order restraining the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to stop construction of the road.
3. Ms. Kavita Salunke, learned Additional G.P. for the State submit that the subject matter of the Petition is a public infrastructure Project pertaining to construction of a Road. She submits that the Petitioners apart from having failed to make out any case for grant of

restrain order, had the Petitioners filed a suit, then in such an event also the Petitioners would not be entitled to injunction in respect of Public Infrastructure Project in view of Section 20-A of the Specific Relief Act, 1963. She submits that grant of any restrain order would cause impediment and delay in the progress or completion of the road.

4. In view of the objection raised by Ms. Kavita Solunke, learned Addl. G.P., Ms. Shraddha Pawar, learned Advocate for the Petitioners seeks time and requests for listing of the matter on 14<sup>th</sup> January, 2026.

5. At the request of Ms. Shraddha Pawar, learned Advocate for the Petitioners, list the matter on **14<sup>th</sup> January, 2026.**

**(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)**