

- e) For a period of 6 weeks from today, status-quo in respect of house property shall be maintained.
- f) Needless to mention that after expiry of 6 weeks from today acquiring body - CIDCO would be at liberty to take appropriate steps for securing possession of the whole property. The respondent authorities are free to take appropriate action.'

3. It is brought to our notice that despite the specific direction issued by this Court to respondent No.4 – Deputy District Collector (Land Acquisition), Raigad, to decide the representation of the petitioners within four weeks, till date, the representation is pending.

4. The petitioners claim that they have been approaching the said respondent repeatedly, but to no avail. It is also to be noted that the respondent – City and Industrial Development Corporation of Maharashtra Limited (CIDCO) has also not taken any steps in the matter with regard to the possession of the petitioners in the concerned structure, while this Court, by the said order dated 20.12.2018, had directed to maintain *status quo* in respect of the property only for a period of six weeks.

5. Respondent Nos.1 to 3 are officers of the CIDCO and they are represented by learned counsel. Respondent Nos.4 and 5 - State authorities are represented by the learned AGP. Since all the respondents are before this Court, it would be appropriate that instructions are taken on their behalf, so that appropriate directions can be issued in this petition.

6. List under the same category of fresh matters on 22.04.2026.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)