



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.3364 OF 2025

Tasneem Bano Qureshi : Applicant.
Versus.
The State of Maharashtra : Respondent

Mr. Satish Muley a/w Mosin Naik and Aaron Dias for the
Applicant.

Ms. Anagha Deshmukh, APP for the Respondent/State.
PSI Naresh R Ghag, Nagpada Police Station present.

CORAM : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.

DATED : 08 MAY 2026

PC:-

1. Heard Mr. Satish Muley, learned Advocate for the
Applicant.

2. Mr. Satish Muley, learned Advocate for the Applicant,
submits that the informant/complainant was heard before the
Sessions Court however, by inadvertent mistake on his part,
the informant/complainant was not added as a party
Respondent to this Application. He therefore craves leave to
add the informant/complainant as a party Respondent to the
present Application and further to amend the prayer clause of
the present Application.



3. Request made by Mr. Satish Muley, learned Advocate for the Applicant, is not opposed by Ms. Anagha Deshmukh, learned APP for the Respondent/State.
4. In view of the no objection, leave granted. Mr. Satish Muley, learned Advocate for the Applicant, requests for four weeks time to carry out the amendment. Time as prayed for is granted.
5. If the informant/complainant is added as party Respondent No. 2 in this Application and the amendment is carried out within the time granted, issue notice to the Respondents, returnable on 22 June 2026.
6. Ms.Anagha Deshmukh, learned APP appears for the Respondent/State.
7. Mr. Satish Muley, learned Advocate for the Applicant, states that spare copy of this Application would be furnished to the Registry on the date of carrying out the amendment.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)