

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.3361 OF 2025**

Mohammed Kaif Queshi : Applicant.  
Versus.  
The State of Maharashtra : Respondent

-----

Mr. Satish Muley a/w Mosin Naik and Aaron Dias for the  
Applicant.  
Ms. Anagha Deshmukh, APP for the Respondent/State.  
WPSI Salubai Parihar, Byculla Police Station present.

-----

**CORAM : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.**

**DATED : 08 MAY 2026**

**PC:-**

1. Heard Mr. Satish Muley, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

2. Mr. Satish Muley, learned Advocate for the Applicant, submits that the informant/complainant was heard before the Sessions Court, however, by inadvertent mistake on his part, the informant/complainant was not added as a party Respondent to this Application. He therefore craves leave to add the informant/complainant as a party Respondent to the present Application and further to amend the prayer clause of the present Application.

3. Request made by Mr. Satish Muley, learned Advocate for the Applicant, is not opposed by Ms. Anagha Deshmukh, learned APP for the Respondent/State.
4. In view of the no objection, leave is granted. Mr. Satish Muley, learned Advocate for the Applicant, requests four weeks' time to carry out the amendment. Time as prayed for is granted.
5. If the informant/complainant is added as party Respondent No. 2 in this Application and the amendment is carried out within the time granted, issue notice to the Respondents, returnable on 22 June 2026.
6. Ms.Anagha Deshmukh, learned APP appears for the Respondent/State.
7. Mr. Satish Muley, learned Advocate for the Applicant, states that spare copy of this Application would be furnished to the Registry on the date of carrying out the amendment.

**(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)**