



Diksha Rane

9. ABA 3546-24.doc



2025:BHC-AS:938

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3546/2024

PRAMOD KUMAR RAMKARAN DHANUKA ..APPLICANT

VS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR. ..RESPONDENTS

Mr. Manish Bohra a/w. Mr. Vikas B. for the applicant.
Mr. Nitin B. Patil, APP for the State.
Adv. Dimple K. Shah a/w. Adv. Siddhant Vakil for the informant.
PI Sarika Khairnar, Amboli Police Station

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : MARCH 11, 2025.

P.C. :

1. The learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that he will file a short affidavit to demonstrate that only a sum of Rs. 30,00,000/- was invested by the informant, and that amount was received by him in cash. He is ready to deposit that amount in this Court within a period of six to eight weeks from today. The learned counsel for the applicant also submits that the applicant was previously a sub-broker known to the informant, whose license was suspended due to a default committed to the broker. However, since



the money was repaid to the broker in 2021, the applicant was reinstated as a sub-broker.

2. The learned counsel for the informant submits that the amount invested by the informant with the applicant, who always portrayed himself as a sub-broker, was Rs. 1,75,00,000/-. As the applicant is filing an affidavit to show an investment of Rs. 30,00,000/- in cash, and not Rs. 1,75,00,000/-, the informant should also be permitted to file an affidavit to prove that he invested Rs. 1,75,00,000/- with the applicant.

3. The learned APP submits that there was a fake bolt, which is an online platform used for the trading of shares. He submits that the present applicant was previously a sub-broker. The learned APP further submits that, in response to the notice dated 8/12/2024 issued by the concerned police station, the present applicant replied by letter dated 12/12/2024, admitting that he never placed any order for the purchase or sale of shares on behalf of the informant, nor did he execute any trade for the informant. Since no purchase or sale of shares was conducted on behalf of the informant, none of his devices (laptop or mobile) contain any data related to such transactions. Furthermore, approximately six to seven months ago, his mobile



2025:BHC-AS:938

Diksha Rane

9. ABA 3546-24.doc

phone became non-operational, and he replaced it with a new handset. The old phone was discarded.

4. In view of the above, matter to come up on **19/3/2025** under the caption **“for urgent circulation”**.

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)