

Sayali

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 22 OF 2026
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1860 OF 2024

SAYALI
DEEPAK
UPASANI

Digitally signed by
SAYALI DEEPAK
UPASANI
Date: 2026.04.17
18:23:18 +0530

Dnyaneshwar Narayan Mate ... Petitioner
V/s.
Pimpri Chindchwad Municipal Corporation ... Respondents

Mr. Nitin A. Kulkarni, for Petitioner.

CORAM : AMIT BORKAR, J.

DATED : APRIL 17, 2026

P.C.:

1. The learned Advocate for the petitioner invited my attention to Clause (ii) of paragraph 57 of the judgment and order passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 1860 of 2024, whereby this Court modified the judgment and order of the Industrial Court in Complaint (ULP) No. 139 of 1999, holding that the complainants shall be deemed to be in municipal service w.e.f. 18 August 2005.

2. The said judgment was challenged by way of SLP bearing Diary No. 53933, and the Supreme Court on 03 February 2025 dismissed the SLP.

3. It is stated on oath that though similarly situated complainants are given benefits of the order, 17 petitioners have not been extended the benefit of Clause (ii) of paragraph 57 of the judgment, despite this fact being brought to the notice of the respondent by way of communication on 04 November 2025.
4. I am, therefore, prima facie satisfied that respondent no. 2 has willfully disobeyed the order of this Court. Hence, issue notice under Rule 9 of the Bombay High Court (Contempt of Courts) Rules, 1994 to respondent no. 2, returnable on 30th April, 2026.
5. Respondent no. 2 shall remain present before this Court on each and every date unless specifically exempted by this Court.
6. It is made clear that if respondent no. 2 fails to remain present, a non-bailable warrant for the arrest of respondent no. 2 shall be issued.

(AMIT BORKAR, J.)