

Prasad

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

8 CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 4530 OF 2025

|                                       |               |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Mohammed Shakeel Sadik Behlim @ Sahil | ...Applicant  |
| Versus                                |               |
| State Of Maharashtra                  | ...Respondent |

---

Ms. Rohit Sawant, for the Applicant.  
Ms. Sangeeta Shinde, APP for the Respondent-State.  
Mr. Nishi Singhvi, for the Respondent No.2.  
PSI – A.A. Deshpande, Mahim Police Station, present.

---

CORAM: R. M. JOSHI, J.  
DATED: 08<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2026.

PC:-

1. Learned counsel for the Applicant, during the course of arguments, submitted that without providing entire material relied upon by the prosecution against the Applicant, the Trial Court is compelling the Applicant to sign the charge framed against him.

2. In this regard, a query was made to the learned APP as to whether FSL report in respect of alleged video of the victim is provided to the Applicant. On instructions, he stated that the same has not been provided to the Applicant till date. If that is so, it is unjust on the part of the Trial Court to compel the Applicant to sign the plea on framing of the charge. In fact, the learned Trial Court ought to have ascertained the fact as to whether the entire material sought to be relied upon by the prosecution has been provided to the accused before framing of the charge.

3. Hence, the Trial Court is directed not to frame charge till next date of hearing.

4. Arguments of learned counsel for the Applicant are complete. Learned counsel for Respondent No.2 seeks time on the ground of personal difficulty.

5. Stand over to **8<sup>th</sup> June, 2026**.

**(R. M. JOSHI, J.)**