

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 55 OF 2026

Lakshmi M. Marakal ...Appellant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra And Anr. ...Respondents

Ms. Sushma Nair, for the Appellant.
Mr. H. J. Dedhia, APP, for the Respondent - State.

CORAM: R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATED: 13th MARCH, 2026

PC:-

1. Learned Counsel for the Appellant submits that without there being any evidence indicating that the seized ornaments are obtained from the crime proceeds, the orders of attachment came to be passed. It is her further submission that there is no statement of the accused's wife indicating that her ornaments are kept with the present Appellant and in the locker standing in the name of Appellant's daughter-in-law. She further submits that the ornaments i.e. *Shridhan* of her daughter-in-law are returned by order dated 28.04.2017 passed by the Special Judge MPID in Misc. Application No. 169 of 2017. It is her further submission that without there will be any evidence in order to indicate that the ornaments belongs to the accused are purchased from the crime proceeds, merely on the ground that the Appellant has

failed to produce receipt of the purchase of the ornaments, her application came to be rejected.

2. Learned App seeks time to respond to the said submission.
3. At his requests, stand over to **15th April 2026**.
4. Part Heard.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

VDMokal/-