

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

APPEAL FROM ORDER STAMP NO. 34982 OF 2025

Sheethal Vishwas Attavar & Anr. ... Appellants

V/s.

E&G Global Estates Ltd., & Anr. ... Respondents

---

Mr. Chaitanya B. Nikte a/w Mr. Swapnil Sangle, Mr. K.S. Raswalkar i/b.  
White & Brief for Appellants.

Digitally  
signed by  
JYOTI  
PRAKASH  
PAWAR  
Date:  
2025.10.29  
16:35:41  
+0530

---

CORAM : FARHAN P. DUBASH, J.

DATE : 28TH OCTOBER 2025  
(VACATION COURT)

**ORDER :**

1. The present Appeal from Order is filed challenging the Judgment and Order dated 17<sup>th</sup> October 2025 (“**impugned Order**”) passed by the Civil Judge Senior Division, Nashik below Exhibit 34.

2. By the impugned Order, the Trial Court granted a temporary injunction restraining the Defendants/Appellants herein from obstructing the Plaintiff/Respondent No. 1 herein from entering the suit properties and installing its name board on the main entrance of the suit properties. The impugned order also granted a further mandatory injunction against the Defendants/Appellants herein and directed them to remove their security

guards from the main entrance of the suit properties.

3. By a further order dated 21<sup>st</sup> October 2025, the District Judge, Nashik suspended the impugned Order till 31<sup>st</sup> October 2025 and granted liberty to the Appellants herein to approach this Court considering the ongoing Diwali Vacation.

4. I have heard Mr. Nikte, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellants. He has filed an Affidavit of Service of today's date, evidencing service of the Appeal from Order and the Interim Application on Respondent No. 1 and notice of today's matter. After going through the papers, this Court deems it fit to extend the stay/suspension order that is in operation till 31<sup>st</sup> October 2025 for a further period of one week viz. till 7<sup>th</sup> November 2025 so that the Plaintiffs can approach the regular Court and make out a case in support of the reliefs sought by them in the Interim Application and the Appeal from Order.

5. This Court is inclined to grant this extension on the basis that the Appellants are admittedly in possession of the suit properties from which they are conducting a wellness resort. Respondent No. 1 is admittedly not in possession. Despite this position, in Suit No. 218 of 2025 filed by Respondent No. 1, they have not sought to be put in possession of the suit properties. Notwithstanding the above, by the impugned Order, the Trial Court has

interalia effectively permitted Respondent No. 1 herein to enter upon the suit properties and further, to even install its board at the entrance whilst directing the Appellants to remove its security guards at the main gate.

6. The Appellants are directed to give fresh notice to all Respondents.

7. Place this matter before regular Court on 3<sup>rd</sup> November 2025.

**( FARHAN P. DUBASH, J. )**