

Satish Sangar

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.9690 OF 2022

SATISH
RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR

M/s.Vira Infrastructure LLP ...Petitioner
Through its Authorized Person
Mr.Pratik J Vira
Versus
Ujwala Bhagat and Ors. ...Respondents

Digitally signed by
SATISH RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR
Date: 2025.11.06
18:38:58 +0530

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.4039 OF 2023
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.9690 OF 2022

Unity Small Finance Bank Ltd. ...Applicant
In the matter between
M/s.Vira Infrastructure LLP ...Petitioner
Through its Authorized Person
Mr.Pratik J Vira
Versus
Ujwala Bhagat and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr.A.V.Anturkar – Senior Advocate a/w Mr.Sandeep Salunkhe, for
Petitioner.
Mr.G.S.Godbole – Senior Advocate i/b. Mr.Devashish Godbole, for
Respondent No.1.
Ms.Lisa Das a/w Mr.Ankit Patil i/b. Jay & Company, for Applicant.
Mr.Bhanu Chopra – Counsel a/w Mr.C.G.Shanker, Mr.Darshan
Suvarna, Mr.Sagar Parab i/b.Vigil Juris,for Respondent No.4.
Smt.PI.Gavhane, AGP for Respondents – State.
Mr.Avinash Kosti – Tahsildar – Vasai.
Ms.Manisha Patil – Law Officer – Collector Office, Palghar.

**CORAM: SUMAN SHYAM &
S. M. MODAK, JJ.**

DATED: 4th NOVEMBER 2025.

PC:-

1. Heard the arguments advanced by the learned Senior Counsel Mr.Anturkar. At this stage, Mr.Chopra, learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No.4 (Resolution Professional) prays for granting leave for filing additional Affidavit-in-Reply, so as to bring on record documentary evidence of publication of the order of moratorium. Prayer allowed. Additional Reply be filed on or before 13th November 2025 by serving advance copy on the Petitioner's counsel.

2. List the matter again on **13th November 2025** for further hearing. To be listed as "**Part Heard**".

3. In view of the categorical submissions made by Mr.Anturkar, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Petitioner that although the Respondent No.1 has been impleaded as a party in this proceeding and some averments have been made against the said Respondents, yet at this stage, he has instruction not to seek any relief against the Respondent No.1 nor he is pressing the allegations brought against the said Respondents in the Writ Petition. If that be so, the Writ Petition shall be deemed to have

been dismissed qua the Respondent No.1. Therefore, learned counsel engaged by the Respondent No.1 also need not to appear any further in this matter.

4. Stand over to **13th November 2025**. To be listed at **3.00 p.m.**

(S. M. MODAK, J.)

(SUMAN SHYAM, J.)