

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.17457 OF 2025

Ramesh Ramdas Naik and another ... Petitioners

Vs.

Vice Chairman and Managing Director CIDCO
and others ... Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.17108 OF 2025
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.16978 OF 2025
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.16977 OF 2025
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.17552 OF 2025
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.17508 OF 2025

Ms. Priyanka Thakur a/w. Mr. Pratik Kasukar for Petitioners in all the Petitions.
Ms. S. D. Vyas, Additional GP for Respondents-State in WP/17457/2025.
Ms. V. R. Raje, AGP for Respondents-State in WP/17108/2025.
Ms. M. S. Bane, AGP for Respondents-State in WP/16978/2025.
Ms. T. N. Bhatia, AGP for Respondents-State in WP/16977/2025.
Ms. A. A. Purav, AGP for Respondents-State in WP/17552/2025.
Ms. G. R. Raghuwanshi, AGP for Respondents-State in WP/17508/2025.

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ.**

DATE : FEBRUARY 16, 2026

P.C. :

. Heard Ms. Thakur, learned counsel for the petitioners in all the petitions.

2. The principal grievance in these petitions appears to be against the respondent - City and Industrial Development Corporation of

Maharashtra Limited (CIDCO) with regard to a rehabilitation package. The petitioners claim to be entitled to rehabilitation under the said package and in that context, prayer clauses (A) and (C) have been specifically made in this petition.

3. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would reconsider whether they wish to press for relief in terms of prayer clause (B) and that, a statement would be made with regard to the same on the next date of listing.

4. In view of the above, issue notice as regards prayer clauses (A) and (C), returnable on 25.03.2026.

5. Learned AGPs waive notice on behalf of the respondent Nos.3, 5, 6 and 8-State.

6. Additionally, the petitioners are permitted to serve respondent Nos.1, 2 and 7 by way of private service and to file affidavit of service before the next date of listing.

7. Reply affidavit, if any, on behalf of the respondents, shall be placed on record within four weeks from today.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)