



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.15572 OF 2025

XYZ ... Petitioner
Versus
Union of India and Others ... Respondents

Mr. Diptendu Bose a/w. Mr. Shubham Patil, Mr. Ajinkya Patil, Mr. Pranay Saraf and Mr. Aniket Mukadam, for the Petitioner.
Mr. Ram Ochani a/w. Ms. Mamta Omle, for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and 6 to 7.
Mrs. P.N. Diwan, AGP for Respondent No. 5.

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
ABHAY J. MANTRI, JJ.**

DATE : 30th MARCH, 2026

P.C:

1. Respondent Nos. 1 to 4, 6 and 7 have entered their affidavits in reply through e-filing. Hard copies are also tendered to the Court.

2. We have perused paragraph Nos. 14 to 19 of the affidavit of Respondent No. 7. In the light of the same, the learned counsel representing Respondent Nos. 1 to 4, submits that the grievance of the Petitioner is being redressed and appropriate proceeding for quantifying the reward account would be followed by adopting the applicable procedure.



3. With regard to the affidavit in reply filed by Respondent Nos. 1 to 4, learned counsel submits that paragraph 22 stating that the Petitioner is not entitled to any relief and the Petition deserves to be dismissed, is erroneously typed. According to the learned counsel, on instruction, the said paragraph should not have been worded in the manner in which it is tendered and in fact, a submission should have been made that the request of the Petitioner is being looked into by following the due procedure. Since paragraph No. 22 is a part of the affidavit in reply dated 16th March, 2026, the learned counsel submits that an additional affidavit would be filed by Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and paragraph No .22 of the present affidavit would be deleted and would be suitably replaced.

4. We grant the said request. Let such affidavit be filed on or before 10th April, 2026.

5. List this Petition on 17th April, 2026 in the ‘Urgent Supplementary Board’.

(ABHAY MANTRI, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)