

Ajay

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 15115 OF 2025
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 15116 OF 2025

M/s. Orange Developers .. Petitioner
Versus
Sadhna Babanrao Telang and Ors. .. Respondents

-
- Mr. Babasaheb Deshmukh, Advocate for Petitioner.
 - Mr. Sumit Kate, Advocate i/by Ms. Gargi Warunjikar, Advocates for Respondent Nos.1 to 3.
 - Mr. A.I. Patel, Addl. G.P. a/w. Ms. S.R. Crasto, AGP for the State.

.....

CORAM : MILIND N. JADHAV, J.

DATE : MAY 07, 2026.

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Petitioner; Mr. Kate, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Mr. Patel, learned Addl. G.P. for the State.

2. There is an inadvertent typographical mistake in the Consent Terms which are forming part of the order dated 21.04.2026. It is a purely typographical mistake on the part of the parties and nothing more. The number of the Writ Petition stated in the Consent Terms '**Writ Petition No.151215 of 2025**' be replaced with the correct number to be read as '**Writ Petition No.15115 of 2025**'.

3. That apart, the nomenclature of RTS '**Revision No.3425/586/Case No.277/G-5**' which has been stated in paragraph No.1 of the Consent Terms has been incorrectly stated therein and the correct number is '**RTS No.3425/580 Case No.377/J-5**'.
4. As seen above, Article 1, Article 2 and Article G have been incorrectly incorporated by virtue of typographical mistake. The same stands corrected.
5. This order read alongwith the order dated 21.04.2026 by all concerned parties.
6. Praeceptum is allowed and disposed.

[MILIND N. JADHAV, J.]

Ajay