

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SECOND APPEAL NO. 218 OF 2022

Bhailal Danabhai Parmar. ... Appellant.

Versus

Mr. Rajesh Arvind Surti & Anr. ... Respondents.

Mr. Manoj Jaiswal a/w. Mr. Vedant Gurav, Tanvi Delwari,
Advocate for Appellant.

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATE : 6th MAY 2026

P.C.:

- 1.** Heard the learned Counsel for the Appellant.
- 2.** The learned Counsel for the Appellant informed the Court that despite repeated efforts to serve the Respondent No. 1, the Respondent No. 1 could not be served and the notice sent to Respondent No. 1 by RPAD has been returned unserved with the remark “unclaimed”. An affidavit of service to that effect is filed. The learned Counsel for the Appellant seeks leave to serve the Respondent Nos. 1 by paper publication.
- 3.** In view of the aforesaid submission and the fact that the notice sent by Registered post has been returned unserved with the remark “unclaimed”, let the Respondent No. 1 be served by paper publication. The notice be published in the newspapers, “News

Hub” in English language and “Pratahkal” in Marathi language, returnable on 6th July, 2026.

4. In addition, the Appellant is permitted to serve the Respondent No. 1 by email also.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]