

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1290 OF 2018**

**WITH**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1291 OF 2018**

**CHANDRU L. RAHEJA & ORS. ... APPLICANTS.**

**VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF MAHRASHTRA  
AND ANOTHER ... RESPONDENTS.**

Mr.Karansingh Rajput a/w Mr.Rhishikesh Bidkar and Ms  
Suchita Uppal i/b M/s Hariani & Company for the Applicants.

Mr.S.V.Gavand, APP for State.

Mr.Vinod Chate, Advocate a/w Mr. Kunal Waghmare for  
Respondent no.2/MCGM.

**CORAM : A. M. BADAR, J.**

**DATE : 27TH FEBRUARY 2020.**

**P.C.:**

1. Heard.

2. Perused the impugned order of issuance of process. Also perused the complaint. The complaint is cyclostyled in which cryptic particulars are filled in by illegible hand writing. It is difficult to understand the hand written portion which is very cryptic. On the basis of such a vague and unreadable complaint, cognizance ought not to have taken by the learned trial Magistrate. A case for consideration is, therefore, made out. Hence, the order.

#### **ORDER**

- i) Admit.
- ii) Interim relief in terms of prayer clause (b) till disposal of applications.

**(A. M. BADAR, J.)**