

Digitally signed  
by  
HUSENBASHA  
RAHAMAN  
NADAF  
Date:  
2026.05.05  
19:33:17 +0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.503 OF 2013

Mukund Bhikoba Borkar .. Applicant  
Vs.  
Mrs. Manasi Milnd Joshi and Ors. .. Respondents

ALONG WITH  
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.4070 OF 2014

Mrs. Manasi Milnd Joshi and Anr. .. Petitioners  
Vs.  
M/s. Damodar Properties, Pune and Ors. .. Respondents

\*\*\*

Mr. Sudhir V. Sadavarte for Applicant in Revision Application and for Respondent No.3 in Writ Petition.

Mr. R.S. Apte, Senior Advocate a/w. Mr. Vaibhav Patankar, Ms. Dhanashree Bhate and Mr. Harshal Nahata for Petitioners in Writ Petition and for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 in Revision Application.

Mr. Tanveer G. Khan, APP for the Respondent / State in both matters.

\*\*\*

**CORAM : M.M. SATHAYE, J.**

**DATE : 5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2026**

**P.C. :**

1. By order dated 01.04.2015, the above criminal writ petition is tagged along with above criminal revision application, hence taken up.

2. Learned Senior Advocate Mr. Apte appearing for the Petitioners in criminal writ petition (original complainant) submits that the criminal revision application is filed challenging the order calling upon the accused to face charges under section 4(1) r/w. Section 13

of Maharashtra Ownership Flats (Regulation Of The Promotion Of Construction, Sale, Management And Transfer) Act, 1963 and criminal writ petition is arising out of discharge of the accused for offence punishable under Section 406 and 420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860.

3. Perused the earlier orders dated 06.02.2014 and 09.09.2014. Record also indicates that parties were sent for mediation and they were trying to settle the dispute amicably. However, it appears that settlement has not yet taken place so far.

4. In that view of the matter, **Rule in both matters.** Learned Senior Advocate appearing for Respondents Nos.1 and 2 in criminal revision application waives service. Likewise, learned counsel appearing for Respondent No.3 in criminal writ petition (WP/4070/2014) waives service.

5. It is clarified that as per earlier orders, pendency of this criminal writ petition/revision application shall not be construed as stay to the concerned criminal proceedings in the Trial Court or shall also not be a ground for seeking adjournment before the Trial Court.

**(M.M. SATHAYE, J.)**