

ISM

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 469 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 473 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 474 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 475 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 476 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 477 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 478 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 479 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 480 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 481 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 482 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 483 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 484 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 485 OF 2012
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 486 OF 2012**

Nandkishor Jankilal Gattani

....Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra and another

...Respondents

Mr. Rajendra Bidkar for applicant.

Mr. Y. Y. Dabke APP for the State.

CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE : MARCH 21, 2018.

P.C.

In prosecution for offence punishable under section 454, 457 and 380 of the Indian Penal Code, applicant was shown to be a witness from whom gold ingots were shown to have been seized, drawing memorandum under section 27 of the Indian Evidence Act. Accused persons are already acquitted and as a consequence, Muddemal property consist of gold was ordered to be auctioned of which applicant is seeking custody of.

2 The learned APP to seek instructions as to in whose custody the said Muddemal property is and whether such Muddemal property is auctioned or not.

3 Stand over to 04/04/2018.

[NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.]