



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.76 OF 2020  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO.1331 OF 2017**

Mrs. Amita Ajit Desai and ors. ... Petitioners

Versus

Shri. Sudhanshu Pandey, IAS and ors. .... Respondents

\*\*\*\*

Adv. Ramesh Ramamurthy a/w Adv. Saikumar Ramamurthy, for  
the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**CORAM : M. S. KARNIK &  
S. M. MODAK, JJ.**

**DATE : 30<sup>th</sup> APRIL, 2026**

**P.C. :**

1. We find that the order has been substantially complied with. However, the petitioners still have a grievance about some unpaid arrears as according to the learned counsel for the petitioners the calculations are not correctly made. In such view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks liberty to make a comprehensive representation to the competent authority placing these calculations on record. If such a representation is made, the competent authority may consider the representation on

its own merits and pass appropriate orders expeditiously. In such view of the matter, we do not propose to keep the contempt petition pending since there is a substantial compliance.

2. The contempt petition is disposed of.

**(S. M. MODAK, J.)**

**(M. S. KARNIK, J.)**