

SA Pathan

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO.12363 OF 2017**

**WITH**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.16821 OF 2022**

**IN**

**WRIT PETITION NO.12363 OF 2017**

**WITH**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.16822 OF 2022**

**IN**

**WRIT PETITION NO.12363 OF 2017**

**WITH**

**WRIT PETITION NO.9516 OF 2017**

Vanita Vijay Birmal & Anr

Petitioners/  
... Applicants

**V/s.**

Sawalaram Ananda Kamble (Mahar) &  
Ors

... Respondents

Mr. Drupad S. Patil, for Petitioners/Applicants.

Mr. Prakash Mahadik, for Respondent No.1.

Mr. Nachiket Khdadkar, for Respondent No.2.

Mr.

**CORAM : AMIT BORKAR, J.**

**DATED : DECEMBER 6, 2023**

**P.C.:**

1. It appears that original plaintiffs are represented by different Advocates.

2. The Division Bench of this Court in the case of **Venkatrao A Pai & Sons Vs. Narayanlal Bansilal & Ors**, reported in AIR 1961 Bom 94 has held that two plaintiffs cannot engage several Advocates as there is likelihood of embarrassment in the Trial. Therefore, it is necessary that the plaintiffs should engage one Advocate to represent them.

3. Stand over to **20 December 2023** to take decision about engagement of single Advocate by plaintiffs.

4. Ad-interim relief granted earlier to continue till 20 December 2023.

**(AMIT BORKAR, J.)**