

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 4265 OF 2024

Mrs. Rita Arvindkumar Mangalik & Anr. ... Petitioners
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Sandesh Shukla a/w Mr. Afsar Ansari & Mr. Devesh Sawant i/b Mr. Vivek Patil & Associates, Advocates for the Petitioners.

Mr. Yash Pulekar i/b Lalla & Lalla, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

Mr. Kiran Shinde, APP for Respondent – State.

Mr. Kiran Patil – API, NRI, Coastal, Police Station, Navi Mumbai, present in Court.

CORAM : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.

DATE : 6th JANUARY, 2026

P.C. :

1. This Petition was circulated by the Respondent No.2 on the ground that the Petitioners though granted leave to annex the copy of the charge-sheet within six weeks from 6th May, 2025, the Petitioners failed to comply with the order. Grievance of the Respondent No. 2 is that the Petitioners have been protected by an order which directs the Trial Court not to proceed against the Petitioners. Mr. Yash Pulekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 submits that prejudice is caused to the Respondent No.2, as such request for early hearing of this Petition.

2. Today, Mr. Sandesh Shukla, learned Advocate for the Petitioners submits that the order dated 6th May, 2025 was not complied

with. He seeks three days to comply with the order dated 6th May, 2025. Mr. Yash Pulekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 objects to the request on the ground that the Petitioners have taken advantage of the interim order.

3. Be that as it may, as and by way of a last indulgence, time period to annex the copy of the charge-sheet and to carry out consequential amendments in the Petition is extended up to 9th January, 2026, failing which the interim order passed in favour of the Petitioners shall stand vacated without reference to the Court.

4. List the matter on **14th January, 2026**.

5. The interim order is extended till the next date, subject to compliance of this order.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)