

JVS.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 168 OF 2022  
WITH  
INTERIM APPLICATION (ST) NO. 9433 OF 2026  
WITH  
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 14676 OF 2024  
WITH  
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3905 OF 2023**

|                                   |   |             |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| Sunil Sureshchandra Agarwal       | } | Petitioner  |
| Versus                            |   |             |
| Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation | } |             |
| & Ors.                            | } | Respondents |

**WITH  
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 24 OF 2024**

|                           |   |             |
|---------------------------|---|-------------|
| Kanchan Purohit           | } | Petitioner  |
| Versus                    |   |             |
| The Union of India & Ors. | } | Respondents |

Mr. Subhsh Jha with Mr. Siddharth Jha, Mr. Sumeet Upadhyay, Mr. Chetan Gogawale and Ms. Hetal Jain i/b. Law Global, Advocates for the Petitioners.

Mr. Vikram Nankani, Senior Advocate with Mr. Saket Mone, Mr. Subit Chakrabarti, Ms. Anchita Nair and Ms. F. Kothari i/b. Vidhi Partners, Advocates for Respondent No. 4 in PIL/168/2022 and for Respondent No. 10 in PIL/24/2024.

Ms. Neha S. Bhide, Government Pleader with Mr. O. A. Chandurkar, Additional Government Pleader and Ms. G. R. Raghuwanshi, AGP for State.

Mr. Tejesh Dande, Advocate for Respondent-NMMC.

Mr. G. S. Hegde, Senior Advocate with Ms. P. M. Bhansali, Advocates for Respondent-CIDCO.

**CORAM: SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR, C.J. &  
SUMAN SHYAM, J.**

**DATE: 8<sup>th</sup> APRIL 2026**

**P.C.:**

The praecipe has been filed for speaking to minutes of the order dated 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2026.

Mr. Subhash Jha, the learned counsel for the petitioners refers to paragraph no. 2 of the order dated 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2026 and submits that the submission made by him on 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2026 regarding final hearing of these matters was in the context of an order passed by this Court on 25<sup>th</sup> March 2025. He further submits that the matter should have appeared under the caption “Final Hearing” and not under the caption “For Admission” or “For Directions”.

2. In view of the order passed by this court on 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2026 which takes note of previous orders including the order dated 25<sup>th</sup> March 2025, we do not find any reason to make any modification in the order dated 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2026.

3. Accordingly, the praecipe for speaking to minutes of the order dated 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2026 is dismissed.

4. Mr. Subhash Jha, the learned counsel for the petitioners states that there are interlocutory applications seeking impleadment and directions vide Interim Application (St.) No. 9433 of 2026 and Interim Application No. 3905 of 2023 respectively which are required to be heard before these Public Interest Litigations are finally heard.

5. In view of the previous orders, a reference to which is indicated in the order dated 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2026, the pending interlocutory application vide Interim Application No. 3905 of 2023 for directions cannot be taken up at this stage. The application for impleadment vide Interim Application (St.) No. 9433 of 2026 which

has been filed after series of orders passed by this Court for final disposal of these matters also is not required to be taken up for a decision. Without going into the merits of these applications, the same are dismissed.

6. Post the matters on 5<sup>th</sup> May 2026 “High on Board”.

**[SUMAN SHYAM, J.]**

**[CHIEF JUSTICE]**

JAYANT  
VISHWANATH  
SALUNKE

Digitally signed by  
JAYANT  
VISHWANATH  
SALUNKE  
Date: 2026.04.15  
14:07:00 +0530