

Sbw

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.802 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.14702 OF 2024**

Kamlesh S/o Dudhnath Rajpat Sharma	... Appellant
V/s.	
The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai	... Respondent

Mr. Rajesh Dixit for the Appellant.
Mr. Sachin B. Vajale i/by Ms. Komal Punjabi for the Respondent.

CORAM : KAMAL KHATA, J.

DATED : 22ND DECEMBER 2025.

P.C. :

1. The Advocate for the Appellant submits that despite the directions passed by this Court on 10th November 2025, the Respondents have failed and neglected to file their reply.
2. In response, the Advocate for the Respondent submits that although the order was duly communicated to the concerned officer, no instructions have been received till date. Accordingly, additional time is sought to file the reply.
3. This continued inaction on the part of the concerned officers of BMC reflects apathy, callousness and demonstrates complete

disregard for the orders of this Court. Not only have they failed to give any instructions to their Advocates, but they have chosen not to remain present in Court even today to give instructions thereby leaving their Advocates to face the consequences for non-filing of reply and causing unwarranted delay in adjudication of the matter.

4. Nevertheless, with a view to affording a final and fair opportunity to the Respondent, the matter is adjourned. However, the question of imposition of costs for such adjournment, as well as the action against the concerned officers of the Respondent, shall be considered on the next date after the Advocate for the Respondent receives and places on record the reasons for such non-compliance.

5. In addition to the reply, the concerned officer shall also state on Affidavit, as to why the orders of this Court have not been complied with within the stipulated time, despite clear directions having been issued as far back as on 10th November 2025.

6. List the matter on 19th January 2026.

(KAMAL KHATA, J.)