

sg

912.aba2262-25.docx

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**Anticipatory Bail Application No.2262 of 2025**

Deepak Ramsurat Yadav

... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra

... Respondent

----

Mr Priyal G Sarda, a/w. Ms Seema S Dighe, for the applicant.

Mr PP Jadhav, APP, for the respondent/ State.

WPSI Hasina Shaikh, Chikhali Police Station, Pimpri  
Chinchwad, is present.

----

**Coram: R.N. Laddha, J.**

**Date: 13 August 2025.**

**P.C.:**

. The learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the present FIR does not name or implicate the present applicant, but instead specifically names the co-accused therein. It is contended that the co-accused had obtained certain sums of money from the informant, purportedly for their business purposes, but have failed and neglected to return the same, thereby allegedly cheating the informant, as narrated in the FIR. It is further submitted that, prior to lodging the present FIR, the informant had caused to issue a legal notice dated 14 June 2024 to accused No.3, namely, Siddhesh, wherein it was

alleged that the said Siddhesh had borrowed an amount of Rs.4,50,000/- from the informant on 23 October 2023. Likewise, prior to the registration of the FIR, the informant had also issued a separate notice to accused No.1 Nikhil, alleging that he had borrowed a total sum of Rs.80,00,000/- from the informant over a period extending from 2022 until April 2024.

2. It is also brought to the attention of this Court that; in August 2024, the father-in-law of accused No.2 lodged a complaint against the present informant; alleging that certain individuals were making unlawful demands for interest from his son-in-law, purportedly on behalf of moneylenders.

3. The learned APP, however, seeks time to obtain further instructions.

4. By consent, stand over to **4 September 2025**. There shall not be any coercive action against the applicant in the present crime till the next date.

(R.N. Laddha, J.)