

Shubhada S Kadam

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 12058 OF 2018
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 30564 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 12058 OF 2018**

Ramawadh Ramsevak Yadav ...Petitioner
Versus
Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai & Ors ...Respondents

**Ms Reshma Ravi, i/b KP Kavi, for the Petitioner.
Mr Santosh Parad, for the Respondent-MCGM.
Mr Ashok T Gade, for the Respondent No. 6.
Mr SB Kalel, AGP, for the Respondent-State.**

**CORAM G.S. Patel &
S.G. Dige, JJ.
DATED: 10th January 2023**

PC:-

1. On instructions, the learned Advocate for MCGM states that MCGM has considered the representations of both the Petitioner, Ramsawadh Ramsevak Yadav, and also of the 6th Respondent, Sushil Kumar Yadav. The MCGM rejected the representation made by the Petitioner . A copy of that order is handed over in Court today to Ms Ravi.

2. Mr Ravi seeks leave to amend to challenge the MCGM order. Leave granted. Amendment to be carried out by Monday, 16th January 2023. Reverification will be required.

3. List the Petition for directions on 18th January 2023.

4. We make it clear that we have not stayed the operation of the MCGM order.

(S.G. Dige, J)

(G. S. Patel, J)