

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY****CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****WRIT PETITION NO.8151 OF 2021**

Sou. Rajju Shirish Gurav ..... Petitioner  
Versus  
Shri. Akil Ahmed Haroon Wangre & Ors. .... Respondents

Mr. Sagar G. Talekar for the Petitioner.  
Ms Sangita Musle a/w. Mr. Subhash G. Bane for the Respondents.

**CORAM : A.S. GADKARI, J.**  
**DATE : 27<sup>th</sup> APRIL, 2022**

**PC. :**

Heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

2. Arguable questions are made out.

Admit.

3. Advocate Ms Musle waives notice on behalf of the Respondents.

4. Mr.Talekar, learned Advocate for the Petitioner submitted that, by the impugned Order, the Appellate Court allowed the application filed under Order 41 Rule 27 of Code of Civil Procedure and permitted the Respondents/Original Plaintiffs to produce on record documents which have been executed after passing of the Judgment and Decree by the Trial Court. He further submitted that, the impugned Order passed by the Appellant Court is not in conformity with the law enumerated by the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Union of India Vs. Ibrahim Uddin & Anr.*, reported in (2012) 8 SCC 148.

5. In view thereof, interim relief in terms of prayer clause (c).
6. Hearing of Petition expedited.

**(A.S. GADKARI, J.)**