

hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 483 OF 2015
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 62 OF 2015**

Waheed Akhtar Ansari

... Petitioner

Versus

Fatima R. Zakaria and Ors.

... Respondents

Mr. R.G. Panchal for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Karwande for R. No. 2.

Mr. Vikas Mali, AGP for Respondent State.

**CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
PRAKASH D. NAIK, JJ.
DATED : JANUARY 27, 2017.****P.C.**

According to the petitioner, in pursuance to our order dated 1.12.2016, the petitioner had appeared before the respondent institution on 2.12.2016. However, he was not allowed to join. Learned counsel for respondent no.1 institution submits that the petitioner did approach to the institution for joining, but he refused to sign the muster recording his attendance and in the circumstances, as per the petitioner's own version, he did not join

the institution. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since there are already two Urdu teachers working in the Institution and one of them is being appointed in place of the petitioner, it was humiliating for the petitioner to sit idle in the Institution. In the circumstances, petitioner, if allowed to teach in the class room then only he will join the Institution. Be that as it may, we keep the aforesaid point open. Let this contempt petition be listed along with WP No. 2130 of 2016 after six weeks.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)

(SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.)