

Shailaja

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.405 OF 2022

Rusabh Vasant Shah	]	Applicant
Vs.		
Pratik Lakhani and another	]	Respondents

a/w

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.403 OF 2022

Rusabh Vasant Shah	]	Applicant
Vs.		
Kumarpal Harshad Shah and another	]	Respondents

.....

Mr. Ajinkya Badar a/w Ms. Sampada Junnare-Badar, for Applicant.

Ms. Akanksha P. Tipnis i/b Mr. Akshay P. Bafna, for Respondent No.1.

Ms. Anamika Malhotra, A.P.P, for Respondent No.2 – State.

....

CORAM : PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.

DATE : 18th APRIL, 2024.

P.C.

1. Learned Counsel for the respondents – accused – Pratik Lakhani and Kumarpal Harshad Shah seeks time to file reply.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant – complainant as well as the learned A.P.P, Ms. Anamika Malhotra who had incidentally, appeared in Anticipatory Bail Application No.1510 of 2021 in respect of accused Pratik Lakhani before the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 21st October, 2021 when the said application was withdrawn, submits that at the time of securing bail before the trial Court on 9th October, 2021, accused Kumarpal Shah misled the trial Court that co-accused Pratik Lakhani has been granted anticipatory bail. However, in fact, he had not been granted anticipatory bail but was granted interim anticipatory bail.

3. Be that as it may.

4. List on **2nd May, 2024** to file response.

5. Meanwhile, the Trial Court is directed to frame a charge within two weeks and shall proceed with the trial without granting unnecessary adjournments either to the accused or to the prosecution by keeping in mind provisions of Section 309 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

6. Copy of the reply shall be furnished to the learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned A.P.P a week before the next date.

[PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.]