

Shephali

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 12058 OF 2018
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 30564 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 12058 OF 2018

Ramawadh Ramsevak Yadav ...Petitioner
Versus
Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai & Ors ...Respondents

Ms Reshma Ravi, i/b KP Ravi & Co, for the Petitioner.
Mr OM Suryavanshi, for Respondents Nos. 1 to 3-MCGM.
Mr SB Kalel, AGP, for the Respondent-State.
Ms Riya John, i/b Ashok T Gade, for Respondent No. 6.

CORAM G.S. Patel &
S.G. Dige, JJ.
DATED: 2nd January 2023

PC:-

1. The context is between the Petitioner and the 6th Respondent about appointment as 'Peon' in the 4th Respondent educational institution. The Petition assails an order of 25th January 2005 appointing the 6th Respondent. The Petition itself was filed in 2017 or 2018. It has remained pending since.

2. We are informed inter alia on the basis of an annexure to the Interim Application filed by the 6th Respondent that because of the pendency of the present Writ Petition, the 6th Respondent has also not received salary and other benefits.

3. It is inconceivable that the 2nd Respondent Education Officer could keep such a matter pending for this length of time. The Education Officer is to decide the representation of the Petitioner as also the representation of the 6th Respondent without delay. We expect the reasoned decision on a priority basis by 6th January 2023.

4. List the matter high on board on 10th January 2023.

5. The MCGM is represented. A copy of this order is to be communicated immediately to the 2nd Respondent. He will act on production of an ordinary digital signed copy of this order.

(S.G. Dige, J)

(G. S. Patel, J)