

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
INTERIM APPLICATION (ST) NO. 14282 OF 2025
IN
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2756 OF 2024**

Vijay Kashinath Chauhan

... Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra And Anr.

... Respondents

Mr. Vikram N. Walawalkar a/w Mr Rohan A. Desai i/b Mr. Amey C. Sawant, for the Petitioner.

Mr. Vijay K. Chauhan, (Party in person), present.

Mr. Ameet Palkar, APP for the Respondent – State.

CORAM : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.

DATE : 5th MAY, 2026.

P.C. :

1. Interim Application (ST) No. 14282 of 2025 is listed before this Court in view of the praecipe dated 17th March 2026, same is taken on record and marked “X” for identification.

2. Today, Mr. Vijay Kashinath Chauhan (Party in Person) points out to the prayer made by him in the Interim Application (ST) No. 14282 of 2025 which read as follows :-

a) Direct the Director General of Police, Maharashtra to

forthwith transfer the investigation in C.R. No. 0864/2023 to the Crime Branch, Mumbai;

b) Direct the concerned police authorities to register an FIR against P. I. Korake and other involved officers for fabrication of false evidence, dereliction of duty, and shielding of accused persons, in accordance with law and the judgment in Lalita Kumari v. State of U.P. [(2014) 2 SCC 1];

c) Direct DGPMS/ the Commissioner of Police / to initiate a departmental inquiry against P. I. Korake and other erring police officials for their misconduct, abuse of authority, and violation of statutory obligations;

d) Direct DCP Zone VIII to provide a certified copy of the statement recorded by the ACP, BKC Division of the Applicant;

e) Issue order and direction directing Home Department / DGPMS to forthwith implement the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter between State of Gujrat Vs. Kishanbhai Etc, Criminal Appeal No. 1485 of 2008, decided by the Supreme Court of India on 07th January 2014. It is reported as State of Gujarat v. Kishanbhai Etc., (2014) 0 Supreme (SC) 18

f) Pass such other orders or directions as may be deemed fit in the interest of justice.

3. Mr. Vijay Kashinath Chauhan (Party in Person) states that the said directions would not pertain the assignment of this Court. He therefore states that the Registry may be requested to verify and to place his Application before the Court having the assignment.

4. Vikram N. Walawalkar, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Mr. Ameet Palkar, learned counsel for APP, submit that reliefs sought in the Interim Application (ST) No. 14282 of 2025 filed by Mr. Vijay Kashinath Chauhan (Party in Person) will not pertain to the assignment of this Court.

5. In view of the statement made by Mr. Vijay Kashinath Chauhan (Party in Person), the Registry to verify and place this proceedings before the Court having assignment.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)