

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Interim Application No.10442 of 2025

In

First Appeal (st) No.22660 of 2025

Satyendra Balasaheb Bhonsale ... Appellants

V/s.

Ramnath Bansilal Golecha and others ... Respondents

With

First Appeal No. 1176 of 2022

With

Interim Application No.3324 of 2025

In

First Appeal No.1176 of 2022

Balkrishna Mahadeo Thorat ... Appellant

V/s.

Chandradevi Laxman Bhonsale ... Respondents

With

First Appeal (st) No.10662/2023

Kushagra Ashok Kadam ... Appellant

v/s.

Ramnath Bansilal Golecha ... Respondents

Mr. Namitkumar S. Pansare	Advocate for the Appellants in FA(st) No.10662/23.
Ms. Radhika Navandar a/w. D.B. Gade	Advocate for the Appellants in FA and applicants in IA 10442/25 and 10444/25.
Ms. Trushna Shah i/b. Tejesh Dande & Associates	Advocate for Respondent Nos.12-a in 1176/2022 and Respondent No. 1 in Appeal (st) No.22660/25.

CORAM : S.M. MODAK, J

DATE : 30th September 2025.

P.C. :

Interim Application No.10442/2025

Heard learned Advocate for the Appellant/Defendant No.13. He intends to prefer an appeal thereby challenging the judgment and decree passed in Special Civil Suit No.1249/2008. There is a delay of 2014 days in preferring an appeal. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.1-Ramnath-Plaintiff wants to file reply to the said applications.

2. It is submitted that Respondent Nos.2 to 16 are the parties who are supporting the present Appellant. Also heard learned Advocate for Respondent No.17. It is submitted that Respondent No.18 is served. Let the Applicant to file affidavit of service.

3. Stand over to **14th November 2025.**

First Appeal No. 1176 of 2022

4. When the matter is called out, no one is present on behalf of the Appellant. Stand over to **14th November 2025.**

Interim Application No.3324 of 2025**In****First Appeal No.1176 of 2022**

5. Ad-interim order granted earlier, if any, to continue till next date.

First Appeal (st) No.10662/2023

6. Heard learned Advocate for the Applicant/Defendant No.17. He intends to challenge the judgment dated 26th September 2019 passed in Special Civil Suit No.1249/2008. There is a delay. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 wants to file affidavit-in-reply. Learned Advocate has got instructions to appear on behalf of Respondent No.14. She is at liberty to file Vakalatnama till next date. If he wants, he can file affidavit-in-reply.

7. Let all these matters be listed on **14th November 2025**.

(S.M. MODAK, J.)