



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**43 IA/7642/2025
IN
MCA/20/2021
WITH
IA/1540/2025
IN
CP/403/2024**

**SANDEEP NARESH BHATIA
VS
VANITA RAJKUMAR ASWANI**

**WITH
CP/403/2024
WITH
IA/14148/2024
IN
CP/403/2024**

**VANITA RAJKUMARASWANI
VS
SANDEEP NARESH BHATIA**

Adv. Jyoti Chavan a/w. Adv. Namrata Pangam for applicant/petitioner
in MCA & CP and for respondent in IA.

Adv. Siddharth Jha a/w. Adv. Sumeet Upadhyay i/b. Law Global
Advocates for the applicant in IA/7642/2025.

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 19 JANUARY 2026.

P.C. :

1) Ms. Chavan, learned counsel appearing for the respondent-wife, submits that although there is a specific order permitting the respondent-wife to meet her son, aged 9 years, every week on Saturday, the applicant-husband did not comply with the said order on 17/01/2026. This was despite the fact that when the matter was on the board of this Court on 12/01/2026, the said order was specifically pointed out to his Advocate.

2) Mr. Jha, learned counsel appearing for the applicant-husband, submits that the welfare of the child is paramount and that the access of the child to the respondent-wife ought to be limited to once in a month, as the applicant-husband has remarried and the present wife of the applicant is considered as a mother by the child. He therefore submits that there should be a change in the access granted to the respondent-wife.

3) The argument which is made by Mr. Jha today was specifically made by him on 12/1/2026 at that time I had already pointed out the fact that since mediation is in progress, both the parties should attend proceeding before the Mediator and try to find out a workable solution.

4) Considering the developments, and the fact that the parties are staying at Kalyan, in a close proximity, the applicant-husband should make an arrangement that the child aged 9 years should meet respondent-wife on 24/1/2026, at 5.30p.m.

5) Parties are also hereby permitted to contact learned Mediator Mr. S. J. Kathawalla, Retired Judge of the Bombay High Court, Bombay, to fix up a date for hearing of the mediation when both parties should positively remain present before the Mediator.

6) Stand over to **9/2/2026** under the caption “**For Directions**”.

(Rajesh S. Patil, J.)