

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

MISCELLANEOUS CIVIL APPLICATION NO.22 OF 2008

Ravindra Mansing Kadam, Advocate General of Maharashtra V/s. Shivram Devram Rokade and Ors.	 Applicant Respondents
--	---

Mr.S.V.Sonawane, AGP, for the applicant – State.
Mr.S.M.Gorwadkar, for respondent Nos.1 to 3 and 5.
None for respondent Nos.4 though served.
Mr.M.S.Karnik, for respondent No.6.

**CORAM : P.B.MAJMUDAR, &
R.G.KETKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 27th JANUARY, 2010

PC.

1. Learned counsel for respective respondents states that point is required to be considered as to whether Revenue authorities can be said to be a Court, so far as Maharashtra Vexatious Litigation (Prevention) Act, is concerned. The question of granting or refusing leave shall finally be decided on the next date. Stand over to 15-02-2010.
2. If the learned counsel for the respondent wants to place any additional material on record, the same shall be placed on record before the next date and the copy of the same may be given to the other side in advance. Learned counsel for the respondent states that he will place on record various orders passed by the revenue authorities on the next date.

3. Learned AGP states that respondents who are contesting this petition on the basis of power of attorneys, such power of attorneys are revoked by the original owner. Learned counsel for the respondents may also verify this aspect, as if the power of attorneys are revoked, the holders may not be able to contest these proceedings on behalf of the original owner.

(R.G.KETKAR, J.)

(P.B.MAJMUDAR, J.)